Case 3:14-cr-00212-WHO Document 80 Filed 06/03/16 Page 1 of 1

	United States I Northern distric SAN FRANCI	CT OF CALIFORNIA	FILED JUN 3 2018
United States of America, Plaintiff, v. Willie McCowan Defendant,)) STI	TE UNDER THE SPEEDT TRIAL	SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT IORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN,
For the reasons stated by the parties of Speedy Trial Act from	ne best interest of t	he public and the defendant in a sp	eedy trial. See 18
Failure to grant a continuance v See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(result in a miscarriage of justice.	
	r the exister aration for pretrial		w, that it is
Failure to grant a continuance account the exercise of due diligence.	•	efendant reasonable time to obtain $63161(h)(7)(B)(iv)$.	counsel, taking into
Failure to grant a continuance counsel's other scheduled case common 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	would unreasonab itments, taking into	ly deny the defendant continuity of account the exercise of due dilige	f counsel, given ence. See 18 U.S.C. §
Failure to grant a continuance effective preparation, taking into acco		ly deny the defendant the reasonabed due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 31	
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 6/3/16		NANDOR J. VADAS United States Magistrate Judge	
STIPULATED: Attorney for	Defendant	Assistant United States Attorne	ev